



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.47, No.3

January 28th, 2011

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "A peace is of the nature of a conquest; for then both parties nobly are subdued, and neither party loser." – William Shakespeare

THE REAL-WORLD REVOLUTION ROLLS ON by Wallace Klinck, Canada: When will the nations of the world learn from Douglas and pay the wages of the machine to all citizens to supplement and even to replace any earned incomes that they may be receiving? How can we expect the costs of labour as income to pay the combined cost of labour and physical capital when the latter is rapidly outstripping the former? Nations that account their assets as a liability have a grim future. And, it could be rendered all so different if we merely altered the abstract system of accountancy that we use as "money" so as to reflect the true physical, as opposed to inflated financial costs of production.

More examples of Social Credit in the process of realisation.

The evidence? "German high-tech-car-factory, an engineering marvel !"

View it here: <http://www.flixy.com/high-tech-car-factory.htm>

The Zero-Emissions Electric Vehicle of the Future. A Reality Today! Something I have been waiting for – for years! And it is not a hybrid. A true advance in technology.

Look here: <http://www.canadiandriver.com/articles/tw/honda-fcx-clarity.htm>

And here: <http://automobiles.honda.com/fcx-clarity>

SOCIALISM, SOCIAL BEING, SOCIAL CREDIT AND THE LEAGUE OF RIGHTS by Chris Knight: *On Target*, 14 January, 2011, contains a thoughtful discussion on the question of whether or not the League of Rights is a socialist movement. Social Credit, for example, a core part of the League philosophy, is concerned with a just distribution of the fruits of human labour in a world where technology has largely solved the problem of production. And in its own weird way, so is Socialism. Liberalism operates with a social ontology (philosophy of being and existence) of social atomism or individualism. Society, as Margaret Thatcher once said, does not exist. Communism and Socialism exists at the opposite extreme and adopts an ontology of social holism - the only reality are collective entities such as societies and cultures. This view of the Left is characteristic of postmodernism and social constructionism that denies that external truth exists.

IN THIS ISSUE • *Thought For The Week* • *The Real World Revolution Rolls On* • *Socialism, Social Being, Social Credit And The League Of Rights* • *The Left, The Right – And The Truth* • *The Shadow Of Things To Come* • *What's That You Say? It Couldn't Happen Here* – Oh No?

02 FEB 2011

140 52052 354

The Jewish philosopher Jacques Derrida has said that nothing exists outside of the "text". This is the extreme expression of a dogma that has gripped philosophy since the time of Kant - that we are cut off from the world by our concepts, language and theories and can only know the world through this conceptual veil.

Social Credit, through the writings of C.H. Douglas, has a much saner philosophy. Individuals exist and so does society. Society is a social product of the association and interaction of individuals. Older individuals create and maintain the social institutions, e.g., language, which newer individuals are born into. There is no Kantian veil hiding us from the world because we are not isolated atoms as individuals.

Individuals are, among other things, biological beings. Sociality is part of man's human nature, but it is by no means the sole defining characteristic as the Communists and Socialists believe. Their ontology is very much an "over-socialised conception of man". Modern sociology is largely flawed as a cognitive discipline because of this fundamental error.

It is because individuals transcend social structures and are never reducible to them, that is, because they have a transcendental essence re sociality, that the political philosophy of Communism and Leftism in all its forms (e.g., feminism) is wrong.

The necessity of individuals to have private property is a part of human nature because it is an ecological condition of individual freedom. Thus, the political philosophy and ethos of Leftism is also fundamentally wrong because it ignores this fundamental aspect of human nature. For this reason, even though Social Credit and Leftism, are both critical of Global Capitalism, these philosophies do so from an entirely incommensurable ontological position. The Australian League of Rights therefore cannot be correctly viewed as a 'Socialism'.

Essential viewing in relation to the above article is the Eric D. Butler 1989 DVD "The Planned Surrender of Australia". Such matters are discussed from a historical/philosophical position. History has taught man there are fundamental truths about human associations that are just as absolute as the Law of Gravity – which we have ignored to our own peril.

Price: \$12.00 posted from Heritage Bookshop Services and Veritas Books online.

THE LEFT, THE RIGHT – AND THE TRUTH by Betty Luks: "Even a racist and conspiracist has rights," declares Andrew Bolt on his Blog, 20/1/2011. He continues, "The man is a loon and his views are disgusting, but does being an anti-Semitic conspiracy theorist mean you should lose your rights to, say, a gun: A man declared a white supremacist by police and ruled a possible risk to public safety by a psychiatrist has been granted the right to possess a handgun.

Darryl Potts, who believes there is a Jewish conspiracy to destroy other races, had his AB firearms and probationary pistol licences revoked by police after he expressed "white supremacy views" to officers during an incident involving domestic violence. But, in a landmark case, the Administrative Decisions Tribunal has ruled that, even though Mr. Potts might hold extreme and offensive views, that does not mean he is mentally impaired and he is legally entitled to a firearm licence.

The decision was at odds with the opinion of police, the Firearms Registry and a psychiatrist's clinical assessment that Mr Potts had the potential "to put public safety at risk".

Mr. Potts, an elevator technician at Federal Parliament, pursued the case because he believed having a revoked firearm licence could affect his security clearance to work in government buildings.

There was some alleged "domestic" incident two years ago that worries me, but I tend to agree with the reasoning of ADT member Peter Molony: Mr. Potts is an intelligent, manipulative and calculating man. The fact that he holds political and religious views and opinions that are offensive is not, in my

opinion, sufficient to find that the public interest requires that he no longer hold a firearms licence... To do so would be to embark on a slippery slope ... to totalitarianism."

So Andrew, you see that "Mr. Potts is an intelligent, manipulative and calculating man"? But wouldn't you also agree that Mr. Potts is not alone in this category? Isn't it time all Australians carefully considered how we are being 'intelligently and calculatingly manipulated'?

I learned many years ago just how the Hegelian dialectical strategy and tactics are used by the power-lusters to divide and rule the people in order to gain their own objectives – and this includes the tactics and strategy used by the mainline media.

Let's look at how we are politically divided and manipulated: Geoffrey Dobbs in "What is Social Credit" wrote: "The Left/Right conflict is a divide-and-rule strategy, and it is remarkable how closely it has succeeded in splitting the population down the middle, so that a 'swing' of only a few per cent can change governments. The two sides are at one on their evil policy, they differ only on the truth, which they divide between them and so render (the truth) impotent.

To a large extent the difference between the real power base of the Right and the Left is a matter of age and temperament. Naturally enough, the older one gets, and the less adaptive to change, the more one perceives the importance of maintaining the status quo, of law and order, of heredity and tradition and the cultural inheritance; the younger one is, the more urgent for growth and change and adventure and activity, and the more passionate for fairness and justice and the righting of wrongs. And it is obvious that both sides are needed if we are to resolve our miseries – not a compromise between lies, but a combination of differently perceived truths.

Conservatives defend the right of inheritance – for themselves: For instance, Conservatives once used to defend both the cultural tradition and the right of individual inheritance, but only for those families which had managed to accumulate some personal property. This restriction very largely to their own propertied class conflicted with natural justice, since the earth and its resources are a free gift to man; it also revealed that they did not really believe in inheritance as a general and inalienable right since they would not concede it to the moneyless proletariat who had been driven off the land; so that, in the end, even 'Conservative' belief in inheritance has withered away, just when technological advance and invention have made it blatantly obvious that, so far as real productivity is concerned, there is ample provision for a large element of inherited wealth for all..."

The Left and the Right in Politics: In politics we are constantly bombarded with this 'intelligent, manipulative and calculating' Left/Right confrontation – but the Whole Truth of the matter is never discussed by the 'intelligent, manipulative and calculating' politicians or persons within the media.

Andrew, are you implying there is not a conspiracy to impose world government on us?

But then 'conspiracy' is hardly the word to use now, it is blatantly obvious to those who are not politically blinded altogether. It was certainly 'hidden' when such men as C.H. Douglas, Eric D. Butler, Jeremy Lee, Geoffrey Dobbs and many others first wrote about it, doing their best to warn their fellow countrymen of what was happening and what would be their future should 'the conspiracy' succeed.

In League history, the story is told of one politician who sneered at the suggestion that there was a conspiracy to set up a New International Economic Order (NIEO), who contemptuously retorted to a League of Rights supporter that it was simply "a figment of the imagination of the Australian League of Rights!"

Let's give you just one recent example Andrew: In a media release, 18/1/2011, Senator Barnaby Joyce warns South Australians: "Labor Government to tax the rain". The Labor Party in South Australia has now self promoted themselves to a state of omnipotence. It had to happen, they are

now charging for the rain, and farmers are to pay for water that falls on their own place stored in their own dam for stock. Reports today indicate that a water allocation plan in the Western Mount Lofty Ranges will call for irrigators to install meters on dams and charge them for licences. The Labor-Green party wants to tax you for carbon dioxide, charge you for rain and take away the essence of ownership for the land you bought but leave you with the rates.

The complete destruction by the Labor-Green party of the principles of ownership leaves all ultimately worthless... If you, the individual, do not own anything, make anything or grow anything then you have nothing to offer and you are nobody and as a state or nation you will fall. Maybe that is what Labor and the Greens want, for it appears to be where they are leading us."

Andrew, it would be so simple for you, as an investigative journalist, to trace the pedigree of this policy just announced by the Fabian Socialist Labor-Green party in South Australia, and it would be simpler still for Senator Joyce to trace the same policy back through the policies of his own party.

You know how I know Andrew? I have just done a brief search of the League's *On Target* archives; all of the editions from 1 February 1965 are now online. Use the search facility, type in such words as Rio Earth Summit, Climate Change, Agenda 21 and the whole 'conspiracy' is placed before you.

Liberal-National-Socialists did with their hands what they denied with their mouths: Do a search and follow the pedigree of the 'Conservative' parties' betrayal of this nation and their own people. You will find they have been 'going along' with the New World Order programme for many a year.

You don't believe me Andrew? Then you need to read Jeremy Lee's book, "Australia 2000" What Will We Tell Our Children?" first published in 1997. Jeremy did his homework – as you could have done – and he has documented the U.N. agenda from the U.N's. own sources.

What do you think of the idea of the United Nations controlling the world's foods, fibres and minerals? Maybe, just maybe this policy to measure the rain that falls to the ground and charge the farmers for it is a policy towards the control of food, fibres and minerals. Don't take my word for it – do some research yourself.

THE SHADOW OF THINGS TO COME: I once searched out the meaning of the term 'free enterprise' before it disappeared down the memory hole and the terms 'capitalism' and then 'free trade' were introduced to take the place of free enterprise and help us forget what freedom was all about. The term meant: free: not in bondage to another and enterprise: a bold undertaking.

You really do need to consider – deeply – what the following report means in fact.

WHAT'S THAT YOU SAY? "IT COULDN'T HAPPEN HERE" – OH NO? A West Australia reader sent me the following announcement on a government website. Note carefully it is a Regional Government website. Ask yourselves fellow Australians: When were the referendums held, both State and Federal, to abolish Local Governments and State Governments in this land? Source: <http://regional.gov.au/local/awards/leading/entrydetails.aspx?entry=ZZ367&entryYear=2002>

"Resource Allocation Model, Gosnells City Council "The Resource Allocation Model (RAM) project successfully linked the annual budget and the goals and strategies of the City of Gosnells strategic plan, enabled the City to evaluate and rank unlike expenditure, and enabled the City's scarce resources to be allocated on a non-political equitable basis. The RAM process is unique in Australian Local Government.

Continued in The Bulletin

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.